25X1

25X1

12 May 1980

(3.12)	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Personnel Policy, Planning and Management
FROM:	Director of Central Intelligence
l. Thanks at a time of hi what you and yo analysis of a d	Senior Intelligence Service Statistics for the excellent paper (ER 80-666/5) on promotion policy gh requirement. I am most impressed and encouraged by ur team have been able to produce here in terms of good ifficult and elusive problem. I'm ready to proceed on close to what you have recommended here.
2. Would	you look at the following additional considerations:
except "1" than FY 81 upward in if we don'	I read Table 2, the total SIS 1-4 in all career services service will have a larger number at the end of FY 80. In FY 82, they will go back up again and continue 25X1 1983. It seems to me that it will be easier on everyone to put a hump in the FY 1980 figures but rather have them alf way between the actual figures today and the end FY 81.9.
return to My judgmen people who higher opportune years "signifgroup." I be under configh. If equitable.	also wonder if it might not be better to stretch the the level over five years rather than three and one-half. ton this is simply an instinct that we may be giving the come up for supergrade in the next three years a substantially ortunity than those who will be coming up in the subsequent so. In your memo on the top of page 2, you mention that the icant focus is on the appropriate rate of draw from the feeder wonder if the rate of draw for the feeder groups that will onsideration in the next three fiscal years won't be quite it is, stretching out the return would make things more
1, ER	30-000/3
2. Re	20-666/5°

25X1

25X1

c. I am frankly not at all concerned about losing any of these positions to OMB action. I learned the other day that NSA has been running well below its supergrade ceiling for some years. Admiral Inman is working his way back up gradually in order not to make the rate of draw too high for any particular feeder group. On top of that, with the whole government facing this problem of mass exodus of supergrades, I think it will become apparent that we are all going to have to do something like this.

25X1

of a. It also wonder it you can give me any feeling for the impact
that running at numbers like for a total SIS 1-4 will
have on the promotion opportunities to GS-13, 14, and 15. If I under-
stand the rules of the game, we should be able to increase our
complement of 13s, 14s and 15s because of the points we save by having
Tess than SIS T-4s. It seems to me it is most important to do this
for several reasons. First, it allows us to put the maximum pay into
the system so that our employees will benefit. Next, it increases
the promotion opportunity to the pre-supergrade levels. As we go through
this trauma of accelerated opportunity for promotion to supergrade,
a problem will be that we don't have enough opportunity to groom people
at the medium levels; thus, if we can increase promotion to these
levels, and assuming that people in these levels are going to have to
fill some of the positions that are allocated for supergrades, we will
broaden the feeder group and its experience. In short, we will have a
better opportunity to judge who really deserves to be a supergrade when
the time comes. Finally, it seems to me that we are more likely to
have a retention problem with people at the 13, 14 and 15 levels than
we are with supergrades; hence, increasing the promotion opportunity
here as long as we have the chance to do so could pay large benefits.

	point I'm not clear on is the Could you let me know what the		SPS
Table 2. I the 31st of	also a bit confused by the difunderstand Table 2 to say we harch; Table 3 seems to have the individual career services	nad SIS 1-4 on boar In addition, the	d as of numbers
	i di		25X1
		STANSFIELD TURNER	25 Y 1

25X1